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Scientific motivations

• Core collapse Supernovae (CCSNe) are potential sources of gravitational waves (GWs), neutrinos ($\nu$) and electromagnetic (EM) radiation;

• EM radiation can bring information about the external layers of the star because the mean free path of photons inside the star is very small;

• $\nu$ and GWs can leave the stellar structure without interaction with the stellar medium;

• Coincident neutrino and GW signals would bring valuable information from the inner core of the collapsing star, such as the explosion mechanism.
A CCSN is formed after the rapid collapse and violent explosion of a massive star;

- The engine of the entire mechanism is the inert iron core formed at the end of the different nuclear fusion processes;

- During the collapsing phase, neutronization take place: \( p + e^- \rightarrow n + \nu \).

- Almost simultaneously, the physics of the collapse can lead to the emission of GW: various models exists.

- Duration of the collapse \( \approx \) msec \( \rightarrow \) GW burst;
- Rotating collapse and bounce;
- Rotational instability;
- Turbolent convection;
- Non-radial PNS Pulsations;
- ...  

It is expected a release of energy in between:
\[ 10^{-9} M_\odot c^2 \leq E \leq 10^{-5} M_\odot c^2. \]

Huge variations in predictions exist: observation is needed.
Coincident search between GW and CCSNe v (1)

Searching for GWs in coincidence with neutrinos will lead to:

• A deeper understanding of the physics inside the core of the source;

A distant event with low statistical significance in GW could achieve higher confidence from joint search requirements:

• Higher detection confidence;

For galactic CCSNe, a coincidence with GW would help constraining the physical models governing the dynamics inside the core.

Low False Alarm Rate \( (FAR_{\text{joint}}) \) for the joint search:

• This would allow single detectors to operate at lower thresholds, relaxing criteria for detection.

\[ \text{E.g. with } FAR_{GW} = \frac{1}{\text{month}}, FAR_{\nu} = \frac{1}{\text{day}} \text{ and } w_{\text{coin}} \sim \text{sec} \]

\[ FAR_{\text{joint}} = FAR_{GW} \cdot FAR_{\nu} \cdot w_{\text{coin}} \sim o \left( \frac{1\text{ event}}{\text{kyear}} \right) \]

This choice would correspondingly lead to a 10-20% gain in GW sensitivity.
Coincident search between GW and CCSNe ν (2)

To quantify the potential improvement in sensitivity offered by the joint analysis:

\[ E_{GW} = \frac{\pi^2 c^3}{G D^2 f_0^2 h_{rss}^2} \]

[\cite{B. P. Abbott et al. Class. Quantum Grav., 24, 5343, 2007}]

Here \( D \) is the distance in kpc, \( f_0 \) the signal frequency and \( h_{rss} \) the signal amplitude at the detector.

Improvement in the distance range for GW detectors for a threshold rescaling of 10-20%.
GW detectors

- **LIGO Livingston** and **LIGO Hanford** 4 km detectors located in Livingston and Hanford (USA);
- **Virgo** 3 km detector located in Cascina, near Pisa (Italy);
- They are sensitive to GWs in a wide frequency range, from 10 to 10000 Hz;
- **LIGO** and **Virgo** have been upgraded to advanced configuration. **aLIGO** is currently in its 8th engineering run (ER8);
- First **aLIGO** observational run planned to start at mid September 2015.
GW scientific runs

• A series of runs have been performed by the GW network;
Neutrino detectors

• Actually three ν detectors are involved:
  - Borexino, located in the LNGS laboratory near L'Aquila (Italy), that could observe hundreds of interactions;
  - LVD, located in the LNGS laboratory near L'Aquila (Italy), that could observe hundreds of interactions;
  - Icecube, located near the Amundsen-Scott South Pole Station in Antarctica, that could observe galactic SNe;

• Collaboration is open to any interested ν detector;
  - KamLand, located at the Kamioka Observatory near Toyoma (Japan);
  - ...

http://borex.lngs.infn.it/
www.nu.to.infn.it/exp/all/lvd/
https://icecube.wisc.edu/
The general framework of the analysis (1)
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The general framework of the analysis (2)

• The analysis will be performed using the coherent waveburst pipeline (cWB) [Klimenko et al, Class.Quant.Grav.25:114029,2008];

• cWB is a wavelet-based data analysis pipeline designed to search for unmodelled GW burst signals;

• cWB has been adapted to search for gravitational wave burst signals in coincidence with an external astrophysical event. For example, a GW-optically triggered SN search is in progress.

https://atlas3.atlas.aei.uni-hannover.de/~waveburst/doc/cwb/man/
Simulations – testing the methods through software injection

GW simulations
• Consider possible waveforms representing the GW emission process:

![Waveform Graph]


Neutrino simulations
• Accounting for the theoretical distribution of the neutrino emission process associated with GW emission;
• For any theoretical model, CCSN distance and detector:
  • Average number of observed events;
  • Average response time;
  • Average duration of the signal;
  • Average energy of the events.

![Neutrino Graph]

Conclusions and future steps

• The joint search is in progress;
• Both GW and $\nu$ search would benefit from the joint search;
• Collaboration already involving GW and $\nu$ detectors. It is open to any interested neutrino detectors;
• Future steps:
  • Testing the analysis method on real data;
  • Being ready for upcoming data from advanced gravitational interferometers and neutrino detectors;
EXTRA SLIDES
How to combine different detectors – extra 1

- Find temporal coincidences between different datasets;
- Various possible solution to combine these datasets. A few examples:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GW Network</th>
<th>v Network</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GW Network</td>
<td>Borexino detector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIGO L. detector</td>
<td>LIGO H.-Virgo Sub-Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIGO L. detector</td>
<td>LIGO H. detector</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>