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Single-phase LAr detectors possible because of rejection power from timing, potential for kT scale detectors.

LAr scintillates ~40 photons/keV with prompt (6 ns) and slow (250x slower) components.

Table 3: Scintillation parameters for liquid neon, argon, and xenon.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Ne</th>
<th>Ar</th>
<th>Xe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yield ($\times 10^4$ photons/MeV)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prompt time constant $\tau_1$ (ns)</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>late time constant $\tau_3$</td>
<td>15 $\mu$s</td>
<td>1.59 $\mu$s</td>
<td>21 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_1/I_3$ for electrons</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_1/I_3$ for nuclear recoils</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda$(peak) (nm)</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rayleigh scattering length (cm)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Important for LAr: Ar-39 beta (1 Bq/kg)


MiniCLEAN Design

LAr/LNe WIMP target scintillates at 128/80 nm wavelength shift (TPB) to >400nm, PMT readout digitize PMT signals for 10 μs, at 250 MHz, with on-board zero suppression!

maximize photons/keVee with $4\pi$ PMT coverage (measure 6 pe/keVee in μCLEAN)

Lippincott et al., arXiv:0911.5453

if there is a signal, verify $A^2$ dependence by Ar/Ne target exchange
MiniCLEAN Detector

SNOLab depth (6 km.w.e.)
water shield surrounds by >= 1m
300 kg Argon inside WLS,
project 150 kg fiducial
92 8” R5912mod PMTs (cold)

light guides optically isolate PMTs
10 cm acrylic plug shields LAr from PMT
glass neutrons (O(0.1) ppb U,Th)
## DEAP/CLEAN Program: Single Phase Detectors for Scalability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Detector</th>
<th>Mass (kg)</th>
<th>Construction</th>
<th>Run</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DEAP-1</td>
<td>7 kg</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μCLEAN</td>
<td>4 kg</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MiniCLEAN</td>
<td>300 kg</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEAP-3600</td>
<td>3600 kg</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEAP/CLEAN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(&quot;G3&quot;)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MiniCLEAN**: proof-of-principle single-phase detector with competitive sensitivity.

**DEAP/CLEAN**

- **Current results**
  - MiniCLEAN (150 kg fiducial)
    - Sensitivity: $2 \times 10^{-45}$ cm$^2$
  - DEAP-3600 (1 tonne fiducial)
    - Sensitivity: $1 \times 10^{-46}$ cm$^2$
  - DEAP/CLEAN (10 tonne fiducial)
    - Future goal, $1 \times 10^{-47}$ cm$^2$ sensitivity

**Asrophysical assumptions**:

- $v_0 = 220 \text{ km/s}$
- $v_{\text{Esc}} = 544 \text{ km/s}$
- $v_{\text{Sun}} = 12 \text{ km/s}$
- $v_{\text{Earth}} = 15 \text{ km/s}$
- Density = 0.3 GeV/cm$^3$
**Goal: DEAP/CLEAN “G3”**

*Cryogenic Low Energy Astrophysics with Noble Liquids*

Dark matter search (Argon) and precision measurements of pp solar neutrinos (Neon), supernova neutrinos

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MiniCLEAN (G1)</th>
<th>DEAP-3600 (G2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target Capability</td>
<td>LAr / LNe</td>
<td>LAr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Radius</td>
<td>500 kg / 150 kg</td>
<td>3600 kg / 1000 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target / Fiducial Volume</td>
<td>45 cm/30 cm</td>
<td>85 cm/55 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryogen Containment</td>
<td>Code-Stamped SS Pressure Vessels</td>
<td>Monolithic Acrylic Sphere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light Collection</td>
<td>92 Optical Modules PMTs Submerged “Cold”</td>
<td>266 “Warm” PMTs Outside of Cryogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutron Shielding</td>
<td>10 cm Acrylic + 20 cm Cryogen</td>
<td>50 cm Acrylic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Background Mitigation</td>
<td>Modular Cassettes Assembled under Vacuum</td>
<td>In Situ Resurfacing of Inner Acrylic Surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process Systems</td>
<td>Pulse Tube Refrigerators With Heat Exchangers</td>
<td>LN-Cooled Thermal Siphon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic Compensation</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>Passive + Active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3 Scientific Program</td>
<td>Dark Matter pp-Solar Neutrinos Supernovae Neutrinos</td>
<td>Dark Matter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DEAP/CLEAN “G3” design will build on experience with MiniCLEAN and DEAP3600, testing different technical choices.
Experimental Technique

**electron backgrounds:**
- reject using scintillation light timing
- DEAP-1 measured $<3E-8$ rejection in SNOLab (preliminary, 120-240 p.e.) C. Jillings, CAP’11

-simulate MiniCLEAN, using DEAP-1 measurement as a constraint, predict $<1$ event/year @ 20 keVee using Fprompt cut (@ 50% nuclear recoil acceptance)

-likelihood ratio estimator, Lrecoil, uses observed times of arrival for all PE in an event

-Lrecoil reduces effect of broad PMT charge distribution, statistic has less variance than Fprompt producing better separation between nuclear recoils and electrons

-Lrecoil simulation allows 12.5 keVee threshold with $<1$ electron background event (50 keVr)
Experimental Technique

alpha backgrounds:
- reject using fiducial volume cut
- dangerous background from Rn daughters plating out on materials

- control radiopurity $O(100 \text{ ppb U, Th})$, minimize radon exposure ($< 1 \alpha/m^2/\text{day}$)
- simulate alphas with full reconstruction, find $R<30$ cm (=150 kg fiducial mass) gives $<1$ event/year above 12.5 keVee (50 keVr)

V. Guiseppe et al., arXiv:1101.0126

[Graph showing reconstructed radius vs. energy ROI]

[Graph showing total exposure time vs. radon concentration]
Experimental Technique

**neutron backgrounds:**
-reject using energy, radius, timing (multiple scatters)

-dangerous background from U, Th (alpha,n) in PMT glass (assayed 1.27/0.69/3.62 U/Th/K Bq/kg)

-major effort to validate Geant4 neutron physics, >90% of neutrons scatter inelastically, different time signature than single nuclear recoils (K. Palladino, APS’11)

-simulate neutrons with full reconstruction, estimate radius, energy, fprompt cuts leave ~2 events/yr above 20 keVee, with tagging multiple scatters, Lrecoil project <1/yr above 12.5 keVee (50 keVr)

-dedicated d-d fusion pulsed neutron calibration source to measure neutron rejection in-situ
Experimental Technique

WIMP signal:
- plan two analyses:
  1) blind analysis signal box defined by:
     radius < 30 cm, 12.5 < energy < 25 keVee, 
     F_{prompt} > 0.7 (or L_{recoil}), single scatters
  2) likelihood-based PDF fit for signal above measured background PDFs (using in-situ calibration data), a la SNO

-current simulation of reconstructed background distributions, in energy (left), radius (center, fraction of prompt photons (right), with no cuts

- neutrons
- alphas
- electrons
- gammas

- neutrons
- alphas
- electrons
- gammas

- neutrons
- alphas
- electrons
- gammas
MiniCLEAN Status

Outer Vessel

SNOLab Infrastructure

Practice!
Inner Vessel

Veto Assembly Test

Cassette Test Stand
Conclusions

MiniCLEAN’s goals are to

• Perform a competitive WIMP search using signal and background distributions to maximize sensitivity
• Measure all significant background rates *in-situ*
• Develop an effective neutron tagging technique
• Constrain systematic uncertainties in detector response with calibration data
• Demonstrate a position and energy reconstruction algorithm for present and future detectors in the DEAP/CLEAN program
• Improve limit on the PSD leakage of $^{39}$Ar events

Detector construction is underway, plan to start commissioning late summer 2012, start dark matter run end of 2012. Stay tuned!
Extra Slides
Quenching Factor measured in microCLEAN prototype

mean quenching value above 20 keVr: 0.25+/-0.02+/-0.01

Gastler et al., arXiv: 1004.0373

FIG. 8: (Color online) Scintillation efficiency as a function of energy from 10 to 250 keVr. The weighted mean (red line) is generated from the data above 20 keVr and puts the mean scintillation efficiency at 0.25. The value measured by WARP is 0.28 at 65 keVr [3].
Detector Simulation

**RAT**: simulation and analysis program for PMT-based experiments (Braidwood, DEAP/CLEAN, SNO+, CLEAR)

- **GEANT4**: detector geometry and particle propagation.
- **ROOT**: Event input and output.
- **GLG4Sim**: custom scintillation physics, PMT model, DAQ
  - dE/dx dependent quenching and singlet/triplet ratios for different particle types, based on measurements in microCLEAN
  - full optical transport of individual photons through detailed 3D model of the detector, optics based on ex-situ measurements

*Gastler et al., arXiv: 1004.0373*
Calibration

Calibration program to measure background in-situ, and completely verify a positive signal in the same detector.

Sources: neutrons (AmBe, pulsed d-d), electrons ($^{39}$Ar, $^{83}$Kr), gammas ($^{57}$Co, $^{22}$Na)
Why Argon?

- Greatest difference between singlet and triplet lifetimes: $10^9$ electron rejection
- Favorable form-factor for coherent scattering: higher energy threshold possible
- Excellent scintillation light yield

Drawback: $^{39}$Ar, trade-off between background rejection and threshold, recent progress in low-bgnd LAr (x20)